Laurdryworkers

4

Trades and Labour Council women's organizer Helena Gutteridge.

Union agitation for Minimum Wages fool women had reached a peak, with union women strongly supporting the notion of union contracts as well as or instead of employer-dominated convernment commissions establishing offered to bring the question of industry wage eevels to the Minimum Wage Board of negotiate a contract.

The strike began at the Pioneerm, Star, Canadaian and IKL laundries.

From the beginning the workers and leave established wellorganized and well-populated picket lines, making it uncomforatble for
company strikebreakers to enter struck premises. The Cascade and
Excelsion laundries continued to operate in the first period of the
strike, but wuth considerable shortages of personnel. The Cascade signed
soon in up. By September 27th the list of struck laundries had
grown to include the Peerless and the Excelsion, with a total of
290 workers out. By the end of October, despite intimidation from
the employers, who claimed that the union would fine them five dollars.

Strike the breakers were interested in signing with the union.

strike was fought by both union and employers within the pages of the daily press as much as on the picket lines. By early September bosses unjustly proclaimed that union women earned \$8.50 a week, while the union countered that women on heavy machinery eatned a mere \$7.25. A week later the latter's figures had risen to \$40 to \$65 dollars a month for a supposed 4 2 hour work week. The union contested this, with the material stating that women had worked for years for a far lower rate. By the end of the moth the supposed earnings had inflated to eighteen dollars pwe week. As the strike continued the union offered progreesive arguments as to women's right to earn a decent wage. They showed that most of the women and girls working in the industry were providing for dependents through their employment, wither supporting widowed mothers, thier brothers and sisters, older parents unable to work or were themselves widows of veterans. Women had the right to earnings comensurate with those that men received, after their dependents 🛲 needed their earnings as much as those of male workers did. The union accused the bosses of provoking the strike; they had known of the unrest amongst their workforce and had been consistenly unwilling to resolve assues long under dispute. The union, promtong a popular argument of the time, stated that it was impossible for x young women to remain both in appearnace and lifestyle, respectabel at the management is low wage rates.

The propaganda war escalated with the onslaught of the Spansih flu epidemic in November. Leaders of the union movement dies from the illness as well as four striking laundry workers, who were picketting under adverse fall conditions. The trade union moevement haleed all meetings in an attempt to stop the contagion from being passed. The employers

contaminiated publically blamed the strikers for the epidemic, stating that laundry could not be claened because of the strike. Unionists quickly countered, offereing to run laundry services in hospitals and other instituttion free of clarge for all those with flu in their homes. While this offere was refused, the workers willingness to provide services and palce themselves at risk helped to cut acress public hostility to the strikers.

The gwners employed diverse tactics to break the strike, beyond thier publicity campaign. They held out the offer of arbitration through the minimum Wage Board again and again to the union, expressing their willingness by October to pay \$10.50 an hour. The employers, after Deputy Minsiter of Labour, mediation by J.D. McNiven and intervention by the Attorney General finally agreed to reinstate all strikers, institute \$ increase, but continued to refuse union recognition and the implied closed Strikebreakes were intimidated, and union workkers sent letters stating, "I understand that you desire to return to work" and proceeding to offere them their jobs back. The courts indicated that The x Cand police to protect strikebreakers and frame striking workers. A were contrally Short of skuth numbers were arrested and convicted in the basis of scabs testimony of assault with no cooroborating evidence. The union was for end to spend much-needed strike funds on defende campaigns, and money for families of convicted strikers. Struck laundries altempted to recruit oriental workers, but the Chinese laundries refused Their assistance, supporting the strike, The courseof the strike moved from hopelessness to near victory and then finally to defeat, as the employers withstood woerkers demands for a long enough time to break the union.

The laundry workers of rhis time were well situated to enforce a walkout, Laundries handled not only personal clothing and linens

but major contracts from boats, hotels and other institutions. The work was labour intensive and took some training to perform safely and and efficiently.

large workfloce was needed to keep the operations running. Not only were because it was an industrate union women in a key psotion to shut down the plants but engineers union add drivers were also organized into the same local and the same strike.

Without the engineers the finicky steam engines could not be started proposerly and maintained. Lauddries operated on a pick-up system; drivers were essential for dirty laundry to be devivered and the clean returned.

When was working to capacity with a scab labour force, the union counstered that this was impossible: the drivers were still on strike and the work was not being brought in. 13

The union held fast to its demand that an agreement be reached despite mounting pressure to use the Munimum Wage Board. The close relationship between Washington Srate unions and BC unions was demonstrated at the beginning of the strike when woerkers were dosattisfied with new minimums offered to woekres in the industry because they were below levels acheived in Washington State. The union was furthur angered by Alderman Kirk?s statements of suport for the latter carrier's union receiving a wage in the lgiht of his refusal to recognize his own employees union. Throughout the strike the union attempted to recruit strikebreakers to its ranks and to extend the strike to other laundries, it was successful to some degree with both of these efforts. Again and again the workers refused arbitration for negotions. The workers actively sought and received support from the rest of the labour movement, who donated generaously to the strike fund. The strikers appeared consistently at labour council meetings They also sought the intervention of city council against Alderman Kirk, showing

UNION". As the strike continued workers from other union were recruited to assist with picketline duty, of these the Longshoremen were the most consistent. Shipyard civic workes and the longshoremen made regular donations ranging from \$100 to in the latter instance, \$500.

money. The HREU refused to use laundry washed by struck houses, forcing enforce a boycott. 19

As the strike wore on a number of laundries settled with the union.

By November 15 20 the Canadian and Excelsion had agreed to cuse union labour amd the latter was run as a cooperative, providing work for striking workers and a much publicized avenue for companies requiring laundry services.

The union leadership and VTLC leadership, while mostly sympathetic to the strike voiced both surpensee and some later skepticism at the ranks of the unions willingness to contiue the battle. In mid-October at a meeting of the strikeing workers laundry workers excitedly shouted NO! when asked by a member if they were willing to return to work without a closed shop. Deputy Minsiter of Labour McNiven, a consistent supporter of the strukers and amediator for the union auggested that a secrit ballot rote occur to show the bosses that workers supported the closed shop demand; the employers had insisted that Helena Gutteridge was the cause of all of punion's insistence on the demand and that 🎁 memebership did not back her. meetinga Mr. Brock, the International Bresident gave an address on the closed shop, which reportdly wouldconvince even the most dullwitted" to support the issue. This comment is most unfair, given the rank and file's eagerness from the inception of the strike to win union recognition. The vote unanimously Odvocated this demand. The International promised to raise stille monies 21

expressed at a later point in the strike when the top leadership ≥ome mistrust 4 of the VTLC - placed on the negotiating committee of the union. In part this move came from a desire to prove to the employers that the strikers were not isolated and theat the union movement was willing to escalate its support for the strikem, if necessary. It also came from a wish to oversee the use of the donations given to the strikers; unions had given liberally to the strike, "but so far they have done so without being in f k position to acquire first hand information on the situation." They wanted to find out i∳ the hard stance of the employers was a result of "misapprehension" as to the intentions of the unixon or thier uncompromising opposition to the While men had lead the union's negotiations, despite their small numbers, in the bangalantan industry, this sense may have stemmed from gender of the strike rs, who were considered inexpresenced in trade unionism. The new negotiatiators soon learned that the problem resided clearly in the employers' house, not with the women on strike. 22

By the end of December there was a decided shift in union strategy. Mhile the strikers held fast the Minimum Wage Board had decided to hold hearings into the wages in the laundry industy. The union condicted questionaires to workers who stated that they needed between \$14.85 to \$20/ weeek to live. A single motehr, quoting the last figure stated that and tear on workers' clothes in the industry was extreme. Morkers also mentioned that there we not sick benefits or insurance and that their wages had to cover thiese exigencies. Gutteridge, apprearing before the commission stated that women were facing high post-war inflation and neeced a wage increase. The commission declared a \$13.50/week minimum wage, which was legally binding upon employers. The B.C. Federationist the labour press of the time, hailed this as a major voctory, stating that organization counted for more than employer concessions.

It was clear that the union had won a victory through its willingness to fight for decent wages for women, The problems with the minimum wage legistion were swifly pointed out by Gutteridge, eighteen was the lowest age covered by the act, all that employers need do now was to hire womne below the age of eighteen and continue to pay them a lower scale. She demanded that the un board force employers to hire only women covered by the declared scale or to extend it to all workes in the industry, withstanding age. Thus the act "practically reestablished the inadequate wage existing before the strike". The union was trying to where a wage scale of more han '8 week for girls under 19.

With the minimum wage established the strike collapsed, despite the failure to acheive union recognition and a claosed shop. By the end of January the workers had * returned to their jobs and the union faded out in all but a few shops. The problem that the strikers had addressed in the beginning, that had faroced them to hit the bricks in the first place was not resolved: minimum wage legislation was only as good as a union to enforce it. Without a contract the language could be phrased to as to work in emploters' interests, and without a contract the union had no means of enforcement.

FOOTNOTES

- 1. 80 Federationist Sept @/1918, Sept 1919 Jan. B1/1919.
- 2. BCRd. Sept. 6/1918
- 3. BC Rd. Sept. 13/1918
- 4 Sept Red. Sept 27/1918
- 5. Oct 25/18
- 6. BCF Sept. 13/18 Sept. 20/18 Sept. 27/18
- 7. Nov. 1/1918
- 8. Oct 4/1918
- 9. Oct 1/1918
- D. BCF NOD. 15/1918
- M. EUF Dec 13/18
- 12. BUT Oct. 18/18
- 13. BUP NOW 8/18
 - 14. BCF Sept. 6/18
 - 15- ibid.
 - 16. BOZ Sept 6/18-Jan 31/19
 - 14. BOF NOV. 22/18
 - 18. BCF NON 29/18
 - 19. ect Sept 27/18
 - 10. BEF 1000.8/18
 - 21. BCF Oct. 11/18
 - 22, BCF NOV 8(18
 - 23. Bor Dec 20/18
 - 2d ibid
 - 25 Marie Campbell, pg. 180